

# Worst-Case Linear-Time Selection: The Median-of-Medians Method

Manish Acharya

## 1 Introduction

The *selection problem* asks for the element of a specified rank in an unsorted array. Formally, given  $n$  distinct elements and an integer  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , the goal is to find the  $i$ -th smallest element.

A straightforward solution sorts the array and returns the desired element, requiring  $\Theta(n \log n)$  time. However, since selection requires only a single element rather than a total ordering, this approach is unnecessarily expensive.

Randomized algorithms can solve the selection problem in expected linear time. This naturally raises the following question:

*Can selection be solved in linear time in the **worst case**, without randomness?*

In this note, we present a deterministic algorithm that answers this question affirmatively. The key idea is a carefully designed pivot selection strategy known as the *median-of-medians* method that guarantees balanced recursion in every execution.

## 2 Problem Definition

**Definition 1** (Selection Problem). *Given an array  $A$  of  $n$  distinct elements and an integer  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the selection problem asks for the element that would appear in position  $i$  if  $A$  were sorted.*

We refer to this element as the  $i$ -th order statistic of  $A$ .

## 3 Selection via Partitioning

A common paradigm for selection is based on partitioning.

Given a pivot element  $x$ , we partition the array into elements smaller than  $x$ , the pivot itself, and elements larger than  $x$ . If exactly  $k - 1$  elements are smaller than  $x$ , then  $x$  is the  $k$ -th smallest element. Otherwise, the desired element lies entirely on one side of the partition, and we recurse on that subarray.

The efficiency of this approach depends critically on the quality of the pivot. Poor pivot choices can lead to highly unbalanced recursion and quadratic worst-case time.

## 4 The Challenge of Deterministic Pivot Selection

To achieve linear worst-case time, we must ensure that the pivot consistently discards a constant fraction of elements.

Randomized methods accomplish this in expectation. Our goal is to do so deterministically.

The median-of-medians method achieves this by constructing a pivot that is provably neither too small nor too large.

## 5 The Median-of-Medians Strategy

The pivot selection procedure operates as follows:

1. Divide the array into groups of five elements each, setting aside at most four leftover elements.
2. Sort each group and extract its median.
3. Recursively compute the median of these medians.
4. Use this value as the pivot.

Although this procedure may appear costly, it ensures strong guarantees on the pivot's rank.

## 6 Counting Guaranteed Elements

We now establish the central structural property that enables worst-case linear time.

**Lemma 1** (Pivot Rank Bound). *Let  $x$  be the pivot chosen by the median-of-medians method from an array of  $n$  elements. Then:*

- *At least  $\lfloor 3n/10 \rfloor$  elements are less than or equal to  $x$ .*
- *At least  $\lfloor 3n/10 \rfloor$  elements are greater than or equal to  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g = \lfloor n/5 \rfloor$  be the number of full groups of five elements.

Each group is sorted, and its median is the third smallest element of that group. Let  $M$  denote the set of these  $g$  medians. The pivot  $x$  is defined as the median of  $M$ .

By definition of the median:

- At least  $\lceil g/2 \rceil$  medians are greater than or equal to  $x$ .
- At least  $\lceil g/2 \rceil$  medians are less than or equal to  $x$ .

Consider the medians that are greater than or equal to  $x$ . Each such median is greater than or equal to three elements in its group (itself and the two larger elements). Therefore, the total number of elements greater than or equal to  $x$  is at least

$$3 \cdot \lceil g/2 \rceil \geq 3 \cdot \lceil (n/5)/2 \rceil \geq \lfloor 3n/10 \rfloor.$$

An analogous argument applies to elements less than or equal to  $x$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

As a consequence, after partitioning around  $x$ , the larger recursive subproblem contains at most  $7n/10$  elements.

## 7 The Deterministic Selection Algorithm

We can now state the full algorithm.

**Definition 2** (Deterministic Linear-Time Selection). *To find the  $i$ -th smallest element in an array  $A$  of size  $n$ :*

1. *If  $n$  is below a fixed constant, solve directly by sorting.*
2. *Partition  $A$  into groups of five and compute their medians.*
3. *Recursively select the median of these medians as the pivot.*
4. *Partition  $A$  around the pivot.*
5. *Recurse only on the side containing the desired order statistic.*

## 8 Worst-Case Running Time

We now analyze the running time.

**Lemma 2.** *The total work performed outside of recursive calls is  $\Theta(n)$ .*

*Proof.* Grouping the elements, sorting constant-sized groups, extracting medians, and partitioning all take linear time.  $\square$

There are at most two recursive calls:

- One recursive call on at most  $n/5$  elements to select the pivot.
- One recursive call on at most  $7n/10$  elements after partitioning.

Thus, the worst-case running time satisfies the recurrence:

$$T(n) \leq T(n/5) + T(7n/10) + \Theta(n).$$

**Theorem 1.** *The deterministic selection algorithm runs in  $\Theta(n)$  time in the worst case.*

*Proof.* Assume  $T(n) \leq cn$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Substituting into the recurrence gives

$$T(n) \leq c(n/5) + c(7n/10) + kn = \left(\frac{9c}{10} + k\right)n.$$

Choosing  $c$  such that  $c/10 \geq k$  ensures  $T(n) \leq cn$ . The bound holds for small  $n$  by direct computation, completing the proof.  $\square$

## 9 Why This Does Not Contradict Sorting Lower Bounds

Sorting requires determining the relative order of all  $n$  elements, which imposes an information-theoretic lower bound of  $\Omega(n \log n)$  comparisons.

Selection, by contrast, requires identifying only a single element of a given rank. The median-of-medians method exploits this reduced information requirement, allowing selection to be solved in linear time without violating sorting lower bounds.

## 10 Discussion and Practical Considerations

Despite its optimal worst-case guarantee, the median-of-medians algorithm is rarely used in practice. Its constant factors are high, and randomized selection algorithms typically outperform it on real-world inputs.

Nevertheless, the algorithm is of fundamental theoretical importance. It demonstrates that randomness is not essential for linear-time selection and provides a canonical example of worst-case analysis driven by careful structural guarantees.

## 11 Conclusion

The median-of-medians method shows that deterministic linear-time selection is possible through disciplined pivot selection and precise counting arguments.

Beyond solving the selection problem, the algorithm serves as a powerful illustration of how worst-case guarantees can be achieved by combining recursion, structure, and careful combinatorial reasoning.

## References

- [CLRS09] T. H. Cormen et al., *Introduction to Algorithms*, 3rd ed., MIT Press, 2009.
- [KT06] J. Kleinberg and É. Tardos, *Algorithm Design*, Pearson, 2006.